

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,305	09/22/2003	Thomas Goering	11884-400201	5450
23838 KENYON & K	7590 03/14/200° ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		SINGH, RACHNA		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		:
	10/665,305	GOERING, THOMAS	
		Art Unit	
	Rachna Singh	2176	:
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		:

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

\$ (BB1)B1 (101) 6131	. 18889 W. 1861	:
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed <u>11-14-06</u> .	· :
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the fo	ollowing
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu☐ The request does not include reasons why a re☐ A proposed amendment is included with the P☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.	· :
The time period for filing a response continues to run f the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No		eal or from
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for appear th 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing cision, or the balance of the two-month ting ever is greater. Further, the time period for	al. Applicant g an appeal me period or filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the clean (s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	aim(s) is as follows:	:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.		
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a		w Office
41 .4 .4		· •
All participants: Heather Herndon		:
Heather R. Herndon (1) <u>Heather R. Herndo Supervisory Patent Examiner</u> Technology Center 2100	(3) <u>Stephen Hong</u> .	;
(2) Rachna Singh.	(4)	;

the